

**REMARKS**

Claims 1-3 and 7-12 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, Claim 1 is amended and Claims 9-12 added. Because support for the amendments to Claim 1 and the subject matter recited in Claims 9-12 is provided in originally filed Figures 1, 3A-3B, and 5A-5B of the present application, Applicant respectfully submits that no new matter is presented herein.

**Claims 1-3 and 7-12 Recite Patentable Subject Matter**

Claims 1-3 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent Number 4,938,463 to Miyamoto in view of U.S. Patent Number 4,817,925 to Sprang et al. (Sprang), and further in view of the Admitted Prior Art (APA) in page 1 of the specification. Applicant respectfully traverses the rejection.

Claim 1, as amended herein, recites a liquid sealed mount device including, among other features a diaphragm for forming a liquid chamber sealing the liquid together with an elastic material, wherein the diaphragm includes a frame member, ***a main body bonded to and entirely covering a first surface of the frame member, and a covering portion bonded to and entirely covering a second surface of the frame member.***

Applicant respectfully submits Miyamoto, Sprang and the APA, alone or in any combination thereof, fail to teach or suggest each and every one of the features recited by Claim 1, as amended herein, and therefore do not provide the benefits derived from such a structural arrangement.

For example, in Miyamoto, Applicant respectfully points out that the portion of the diaphragm (12) corresponding to the main body bonding to the frame member (13)

cannot entirely cover the first surface of the frame member (13) because the uppermost portion of the frame member (13) is sandwiched between the support plate (18) and the upper edge (3a) of the barrel member (3). Likewise, the portion of the diaphragm (12) corresponding to the covering portion bonding to the frame member (13) cannot entirely cover the second surface of the frame member (13) because the uppermost portion of the frame member (13) is also sandwiched between the support plate (18) and the upper edge (3a) of the barrel member (3).

With respect to Sprang and the APA, Applicant respectfully notes there is no teaching or suggestion in either of the diaphragm taught therein, respectively, having a frame member and a covering member.

To establish *prima facie* obviousness, each feature of a rejected claim must be taught or suggested by the applied art of record. See M.P.E.P. §2143.03. Since Miyamoto, Sprang, and the APA, alone or in combination, fail to teach or suggest all of the features recited by Claim 1, Applicant submits Claim 1 is not rendered obvious in view of Miyamoto, Sprang and the APA and should be deemed allowable.

Claims 2-3 and 7-12 depend from Claim 1. It is respectfully submitted that these dependent claims be deemed allowable for at least the same reasons Claim 1 is allowable, as well as for the additional subject matter recited therein.

### **Conclusion**

In view of the foregoing, reconsideration of the application, withdrawal of the outstanding rejection, allowance of Claims 1-3 and 7-12, and the prompt issuance of a Notice of Allowability are respectfully solicited.

Should the Examiner believe anything further is desirable in order to place this application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to contact the undersigned at the telephone number listed below.

In the event this paper is not considered to be timely filed, the Applicant respectfully petitions for an appropriate extension of time. Any fees for such an extension, together with any additional fees that may be due with respect to this paper, may be charged to counsel's Deposit Account No. 01-2300, **referencing docket number 107355-00113.**

Respectfully submitted,  
**ARENT FOX PLLC**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Murat Ozgu  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 44,275

Customer No. 004372  
1050 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Suite 400  
Washington, DC 20036-5339  
Telephone: (202) 857-6000

MO:elp